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ABSTRACT

The combination of pitch and glottalization (glottal constriction or lapse into creaky voice) as relevant phonetic/phonological dimensions of lexical tone is found in several language families in Asia. The Vietic subbranch of Austroasiatic stands out in that all its languages have at least one glottalized tone. Vietnamese is a well-documented example, but the others remain little-studied. The research reported here contributes experimental evidence on one of these languages: Muong (Mường). Excerpts from a database of audio and electroglottographic recordings of twenty speakers allow for a characterization of this dialect's glottalized tone, as contrasted with the four other tones of this five-tone system. The ultimate goal is to determine what (sub)types of glottalized tones exist in the world's languages, bringing out typological differences in terms of (i) phonetic realizations and (ii) degree of importance of glottalization as a feature of linguistic tones.
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1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Terminological framework

Phonation types (laryngeal settings) receive sustained attention from a range of disciplines including phonetics/phonology, medicine, singing instruction, language teaching, and signal processing. Nomenclatures are highly diverse, depending on goals and approaches: in different frameworks, varying degrees of emphasis are laid on acoustics, perception and physiology, and the range of linguistic phenomena taken into account also varies.

A fundamental distinction is that proposed by [29] between four laryngeal vibratory mechanisms: m3 is 'whistle'-like phonation, "seldom used either in speech or in singing"; m2 and m1 roughly correspond to head voice and chest voice, respectively, and m0 to vocal fry, referred to here as creak or creaky voice (which we use interchangeably).

However, these laryngeal vibratory mechanisms are characterized as steady states (as found in the singing voice). In spoken language, states of the larynx can change rapidly: in particular, phonation can verge briefly on mechanism m0 (creaky voice). To characterize phonation types beyond the distinction between laryngeal vibratory mechanisms, we rely on the framework of reference formulated by Laver [21], as further refined by recent work [9]. Concerning the specific topic of the present research, we use glottalization as a cover term for all subtypes of creak and glottal constriction (following [15]), such as pressed voice, multiply-pulsed voice, and aperiodic creak (for further detail, see https://github.com/alexis-michaud/egg/tree/master/gallery).

1.2. Glottalized tones: an under-researched field?

"Southeast Asian languages use different combinations of pitch and phonation type to realize tonal contrasts, and nearly every imaginable combination is represented" [3, p. 193]. Specifically, the combination of pitch and glottalization as relevant phonetic/phonological dimensions of tone is reported in Sino-Tibetan (e.g. Burmese [31], Tai-Kadai [12, pp. 305, 310]) and Hmong-Mien [1]. In this landscape, the Vietic subbranch of Austroasiatic stands out in that all its languages have at least one glottalized tone [11]. The glottalized tones of Northern Vietnamese can by now be considered to be well-documented phonetically [23, 2, 4, 30, 18], but beyond this textbook example, the tones of the other Vietic languages (Muong, Maleng, Arem, Chut/Ruc, Aheu, Hung, Tho) call for experimental phonetic exploration. The research reported here contributes experimental evidence on the Muong language. The ultimate goal is to determine what (sub)types of glottalized tones exist in the world's languages, bringing out typological differences in terms of (i) phonetic/phonological templates and allophonic diversity with respect to the full range of phonetic possibilities and (ii) degree of importance of glottalization as a feature of linguistic tones.
1.3. Experimental challenges

Studying glottalization on the basis of audio signals is a challenge, in that algorithms for $f_0$ detection based on autocorrelation give up when the signal is not quasi-periodic (for a detailed example, see [25, pp. 8-9]). The choice made here consists in using electroglottography (hereafter EGG) in addition to audio recording. The EGG signal, which offers an estimation of the variation in vocal fold contact area during phonation [10, 13, 28], provides a linear view of the nonlinear phenomena of vocal fold vibration, i.e. no more than partial information. EGG is nonetheless well suited to the exploration of glottalization: patterns of vocal fold contact area in glottalization can be discerned on the EGG signal (e.g. [8]), as will be illustrated further below by Fig. 1.

1.4. Target language

The target language is the Muong dialect of Kim Thuong, province of Phu Tho, Vietnam. It has five tones on smooth syllables (and two tones on stopped syllables, not discussed here), shown in Fig. 4. This language’s Ethnologue code is MTQ. Seven field trips on this dialect were conducted since 2014 (total time in the field: 18 weeks).

2. METHOD

2.1. Some challenges of phonetic experimental study in an unwritten language

Phonetic realizations of the glottalized tone are highly variable. Fig. 1 shows two realizations of /vwej⁴/ ‘salt’ said in isolation by the same speaker. Fig. 1a has creaky voice, with irregular glottal cycles, starting after the first third of the syllable and lasting until the end. Fig. 1b reveals glottal constriction in the middle of syllable, and a glottalized offset of voicing. When a word is spoken in isolation, boundary effects are to be expected. Glottalization is known to be among the phonetic exponents of intonational boundaries (in tonal [20] as well as nontonal languages [5]) and to partake in conveying attitudes [22]. Thus, there is no way to know whether the final glottalization in Fig. 1a-b is to be ascribed to the lexical tone or to intonational effects.

To guard against such unintended effects, and to stabilize the phonetic context, a standard method consists in having speakers read the target items inside a carrier sentence [26]. But Muong is an unwritten language, so reading was not an option. Vietnamese could have been used for elicitation, as speakers of Muong are also proficient in this national language, but it is so similar to Muong (somewhat like German and Dutch) that there is a high risk of interference between languages, creating experimental bias. Elicitation by means of photos appeared as the best choice.

Figure 1: Two realizations of /vwej⁴/ ‘salt’ in isolation by speaker F1: (a) creaky voice, (b) glottal constriction. Top to bottom: spectrogram (0-5,500 Hz), acoustic signal, and EGG signal.

Figure 2: Two minimal sets illustrating the five tones of Muong over the syllables /paj/ and /ro/.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>numbering</th>
<th>IPA</th>
<th>part of speech</th>
<th>Vietnamese</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>paj₁</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>(quá) vái</td>
<td>lychee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paj₂</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>dàp bái</td>
<td>barrage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paj₃</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>(cái) vai</td>
<td>jar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paj₄</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>trài, quá</td>
<td>fruit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>paj₅</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>sâi (tay)</td>
<td>armspan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>r₂₁</td>
<td>adj</td>
<td>ránh rôi</td>
<td>idle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r₂₂</td>
<td>adj</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>to be sated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r₂₃</td>
<td>v</td>
<td>mò (cua)</td>
<td>to find crab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r₂₄</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>hoa chuối</td>
<td>banana flower</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r₂₅</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>(con) ria</td>
<td>tortoise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two minimal sets were repeated two times by 4 speakers (one woman and three men) inside carrier sentence (1). The latest data (from fieldwork in 2018, adding 8 minimal sets said by 20 speakers) are currently being processed and should be ready for presentation in August, 2019.

(1) \[ \text{ja² mat⁶ ___ ṭăn⁹/} \]
2SG to_know target item INTERROG
‘Do you know ___?’

2.2. Instructions to consultants

The two minimal sets shown in Fig. 2 require explanations to speakers prior to recording. Some monosyllables tend to be expanded into disyllables: for instance, ‘tortoise’ is /rɔ⁵/ in Muong, but it is often padded up into /kɔn¹ rɔ⁵/ by addition of a nominal classifier. Part of the preparation with speakers therefore consisted in explaining that the intended target item is always a monosyllable. A possible bias is that the task could become a sort of memory game, and the effort of remembering the intended syllable could compete with the demands of consistent, clear phonetic realization. But with just two minimal sets, we found that the procedure went well with all participants.

2.3. Electroglottographic analysis

Fundamental frequency (\(f_0\)) and glottal open quotient (\(O_q\)) were estimated from the derivative of the EGG signal, DEGG [14], using Peakdet, a script available from the COVAREP repository [6]. (An implementation in Praat is also available [19].) Peakdet is designed for semi-automatic measurement: the results for each token are verified visually. Estimating \(O_q\) requires the presence of a clear opening peak on the DEGG signal inside each cycle, a condition that is (by far) not met in all cases. ‘Imprecise peaks’ can mean that there are several peaks during the opening phase, or no well-marked opening peak at all. Fig. 3 illustrates examples of precise and imprecise peaks. When visual inspection shows that there is no single, clear opening peak, no estimation of \(O_q\) is provided for that cycle, as in the creaky portion in Fig. 1a. This explains the irregularity of the curves in Fig. 4b.

3. RESULTS: HOW TONE 4 STANDS OUT IN THE TONE SYSTEM

Fig. 4 shows the time course of \(f_0\) and \(O_q\) for the Muong tone system by speaker M1. For reasons of space, results from speakers M5 and M6 cannot be set out here. All data and figures for these speakers are available from a Github repository: https://github.com/MinhChauNGUYEN/ICPhS-2019. Further discussion is also provided in a MA thesis available online [25]. The obtained results reveal that glottalization sets Tone 4 apart in terms of both of the acoustic properties under study. Firstly, \(f_0\) values for Tone 4 drop from about 125 Hz to minimum values more than eight semitones lower before rising again in the second half of the rhyme, whereas the four other lexical tones are inside a range between 100 and 150 Hz.

Secondly, most tones have \(O_q\) values in the mid part of the speaker’s range. In particular, Tone 2 and Tone 5 have similar values, around 50%; Tone 1 and Tone 3 are slightly lower, around 40%. Tone 4 is remarkably different. Its \(O_q\) plunges from initial mid-range values to the very bottom of range: below 30% (rising back to mid-range values at the end), which constitutes a telltale indication of glottalization.

Thus, both \(f_0\) and \(O_q\) for Tone 4 are very different from the other tones, for the same reason: glottal constriction is strongly reflected in these two parameters, with longer cycles and longer closed phase inside each cycle.

4. DISCUSSION

There have been various proposals on how to categorize phenomena variously labelled as creak, vocal fry, laryngealization, and glottalization [27, 17]. We provisionally distinguish here between creaky voice (a.k.a. phonation mechanism zero [29]), on the one hand, and on the other hand glottal constriction, which in some cases corresponds to press/tense voice as characterized in [17]. Proposals for dividing creaky voice into subtypes are offered in an online gallery: https://github.com/alexis-michaud/egg/
tree/master/gallery. These subtypes are all attested in realizations of Tone 4 in Muong.

For example, coming back to Fig. 1, there are striking differences between the two realizations of the same syllable /vwɛj⁴/ 'salt' in isolation by speaker F1. The lapse into creaky voice in Fig. 1a is noticeable both in the audio and in the EGG signal by a decrease in amplitude and a salient change in waveform shape. In addition, the $f_0$ curve is 'saw-like', and $O_q$ cannot be calculated for want of a clear division of the successive glottal cycles into a closed phase followed by an open phase. On the other hand, Fig. 1b has continuous curves of $f_0$ and $O_q$ with average $f_0$ at 120 Hz and average $O_q$ at 38%.

Concerning the distribution of allotonic variants: in deliberate speech (tending towards hyperarticulation), Tone 4 is canonically produced with creaky voice, although glottal constriction is also attested.

This helps place Muong in typological perspective. In some languages, glottalization is no more than a low-level by-product of low pitch targets [32, 20]; in others (phonation-type register languages), glottalization is a distinctive phonological property on its own [7]; and in yet others, such as Muong and Vietnamese, both pitch and glottalization matter, to varying degrees [16]. Muong is provisionally proposed as an example of a language having a lexical tone that includes creak as part of its phonetic/phonological template. Typologically, this canonical realization appears sufficiently distinct from the glottal constriction of Northern Vietnamese tones (final in Vietnamese tone B2, medial in Vietnamese tone C2 [4]) to warrant recognition as a separate type of glottalized tone.

5. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

The pilot study reported here suggests that glottalization is central to Tone 4 in Muong, with creaky voice as its canonical realization and glottal constriction as a variant. Ongoing work on data from twenty speakers (ten women and ten men) now extends the investigation through a wider range of materials (including spontaneous speech: narratives and songs), and with statistical tools, to verify how close a match there is between phonetic creak and Tone 4 in spontaneous speech, and what patterns of allophonic variation emerge. A goal is to allow for a finer typological picture of phonological association of glottalization to tone.

In the mid run, a perspective will consist in relating observations on audio and EGG data with laryngoscopic evidence, to understand phenomena at the glottal and epiglottal levels, e.g. the implication of the false vocal folds during creak [24].
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